



Overview of US Governmental Policies: Analysis of Trump's Presidential Era

*Dr. Hafiz Hammaduddin
Ph.D Scholar*

*Department of International Relations
FUUAST, Karachi – Pakistan
hafizhammaduddin@gmail.com*

&

*Mobashir Naeem Siddiqui
Research Scholar
Federal Urdu University of Arts, Sciences & Technology
Karachi – Pakistan
mobashirnaem@gmail.com*

&

*Dr. Maryam Bibi
Assistant Professor
Jinnah University for Women
Karachi – Pakistan
maryam.shah5@gmail.com*

Abstract

The writing compares Trump's organisation with those former presidents who have been convicted and explores their personal perspective on repentance. Secondary data sources like ProQuest, Google Scholar and EBSCO host were used to create a study of the political climate in the United States. There are similarities in the policies of Trump and other presidential candidates that are as their own will. Presidents Bill Clinton and Andrew Johnson, for example,



were removed from office after being accused of obstructing values and making a mark on equality, the same Donald Trump is also accused of. Because of Trump's racism against blacks, his abuse of power and his disregard for women, among other things, it is quite possible that he will be criticised. The problems that have long seriously affected the US republic have led to the country's current state of socio-political and monetary destabilisation. Trump, for example, by dividing racial minorities in terms of contingencies, has become detached from monetary issues.

Keywords: United states, Donald Trump, Politics, Election, President.

Introduction

In general, the United States government has had some problems under different presidents. Some leaders have chosen to bring in outside sources to solve problems, while others have made things worse by reluctantly seeking help from neighbouring countries. The purpose of this article is to provide an overview of the chronic US position on issues such as the cycle of impeachment and, in particular, the ouster of the president, as well as various issues related to Trump's companies. The article examines in detail Donald Trump's revolt against non-Caucasians, his abuse of power and office, his exploitation of loopholes in the Constitution, and his corruption of women. It also depicts and analyses the Saturday Night Massacre during Richard Nixon's tenure and the ways in which the head of the FBI ended up analysing Trump's anarchist exercises.

Control approach

The general overview of US political history has never really been verified. It was hoped that various databases would gather evidence on the US government under different presidents such as Richard Nixon, Bill Clinton, and Donald Trump. Research data collected through different print and online sources. Mental judgment and avoidance measures were used to assess the data sensitivity and authenticity. All the research material that were substantively full-text and in English were considered for backup estimation. In addition, articles were from academic and supplementary journals. Various terms that are inherent to the problem of journal peer review were used. The statements recalled the US impeachment plan, the vote of no confidence in former Leader Richard Nixon, the conviction of former president Bill Clinton, the prosecution of Donald



Trump, Watergate, the Saturday night massacre, the issue of ethnic minorities, constitutional issues, social extremism in the United States and the Comey firing. The search returned 92,492 articles. Of these, only 6,001 were hung because they fit the thought patterns.

Easy transition

In the US history, Donald Trump became the third president that has impeached after two votes in the Democratic House of Representatives - but more on what that means below.

President Trump is blamed of forcing Ukraine to release compromising data about one of his main Democratic rivals in the 2020 administration, President Biden with his son Hunter. When Joe Biden was vice president, Tracker worked for the Ukrainian organisation. The leader is defendant of using 2 things as negotiating tools in the Ukraine - squashing \$400 million in Ukraine armed directives that had Congress just approved and meeting at the White House for the Ukrainian leader. According to Democrats, "this led to mismanagement of public power, use of manpower for political advancement and undermining public safety. Ukraine was using cash in its ongoing struggle with Russia."

He was also blamed of discouraging the Congress by rejecting to cooperate through a legislative investigation. Actual whistle blower complaint - an anonymous office personal wrote a letter articulating concerns about July 25 conversation of Trump with President Volodymyr Zelenski - sparked a series of allegations in early days of September.

A recording of the conversation revealed that Trump encouraged President Zelensky to investigate the derogatory accusations in contradiction of Hunter Biden and Joe. The conversation took place shortly after Trump blasted the multi-million dollar US military advantage in Ukraine. A high-ranking office personal later confirmed that the premier had hinted that leader's arrival was the subject of a restrictive investigation into Biden, which the White House denied.

In a series of official conferences, US officials confirmed the existence of an international strategy by the White House, headed by the head of state's legal adviser Rudy Giuliani. The idea was for Ukraine to launch an investigation into Biden and decide how much freedom. The US president refutes using the US army personnel as negotiating chips with Mr Zelensky and has recurrently described his relationship to the Ukrainian leader as "unbelievable". He called the prosecution motion a "witch hunt" by the Democrats and the media.



He also claims it's just to force Ukraine to study "devaluation", referring to the energy companies where Hunter Biden worked.

Republican protection consists of three parts:

- Ukrainian leader says he does not feel burdened
- The people of Ukrainian were unconscious, the leader was held down.
- The US armed command made available indefinitely.

In this exceptional situation, impeachment means that Congress brings charges that form the basis for an investigation. The Constitution of US states that the leader "shall be removed from office when exposed and convicted of injustice, corruption, or other atrocity or crime". It should be noted that this is a political cycle, not a criminal one. Bill Clinton was charged with fraud and obstacle of honesty afterward he lied about his matter with Ms Monica Lewinsky and supposedly received information about it after the fact. However, when the preliminary investigation went to the Senate in 1999, the decision to convict did not reach the required 66% support. According to Congress of the United State of America, (2020), the other major president to be indicted in 1868, was Andrew Johnson-who was accused, amongst other things, of removing his secretary against the will of Congress. Johnson narrowly missed a 66% majority in the Senate. The 37th President of the US Richard Nixon, resigned in 1974 before being indicted in connection with the Watergate scandal.

Trump's first impeachment:

Condemning Trump for horrific protests and insults. The following articles were used to impeach Donald Trump for the first time, IN A REPRESENTATIVE HOUSE Mr NADLER proposed that this be done as suggested by the Committee on the Environment.

Impeach Donald John Trump, United States President, for shrill protests and insults.

The fact that Donald J. Trump, President of the United States, is guilty of appalling demonstrations and misconduct while filing defamatory articles in the US Senate:

Articles of Accusation filed by United States House of Representatives on behalf of themselves and the United States' people against Trump, sustaining and affirming their convictions in his contradiction for scandal and misconduct.



ARTICLE I: ABUSE OF POWER

President Trump, using the powers of his high office, mentioned obstructing the new Ukrainian government in the political race for the US presidency in 2020. He did this through an agreement or policy that included mentioning the Ukrainian government to issue unconditional banknotes that would favour its deal, harm the electoral prospects of its political opponent and tip the balance of the 2020 US presidential race in its favour. President Trump is attempting to coerce the Ukrainian government in the same way, whitewashing the official account of the US government's main motivations in Ukraine when the assessments are publicly released. President Trump is directly negotiating this deal or track for degenerate purposes on a mission for some political freedom. For example, President Trump has used presidential powers to undermine American public safety and disrupt the sincerity of America's reputation-based cycle. In doing so, he has ignored and compromised the nation's interests.

In sum, President Trump has abused his presidential power by denying and compromising public safety and other important public interests in order to maintain a misguided position on individual policy preferences. Similarly, he deceived the US by ill-treating his high office to elect new authority, subverting key races for the partial government.

ARTICLE II: OBSTRUCTION OF CONGRESS

The House of Representatives has considered an impeachment motion that focuses on President Trump's fraudulent actions to bring the Ukrainian government into the political race for the US presidency in 2020. As part of the censure, the evaluation committees collected research reports and opinions relevant to the study of various professions and working environments within the executive branch and current and former professionals.

As if out of spite, without explanation or good reason, President Trump has called on workplaces, factories and senior executives to disobey these calls. As History, A & E Television Networks (2020) highlight a result, President Trump has used presidential powers in defiance of the legitimate calls of the House of Representatives, granting himself the restrictions and choices necessary to exercise the "sole power of impeachment" granted to the House of Representatives by the Constitution.

Bipartisan agreement on impeachment:



Criminal cases are one of the four main reasons why a leader in the United States can be detached. Fraud, payment and conviction are the other reasons. The seven main directions that motion of censure contains that were the obligation to follow successfully confiscate the elected public official from his post. Major direction concerns the assessment of the allegations against the head of the organisation. In the current situation, the autonomous government or the Ministry of Justice is required to make an assessment and submit the information to the House Judiciary Committee that coordinates further steps. Further step is an awareness review and then the drafting of an indictment. At fourth step is a review of the objects of censure in the HJC, and then next phase needs discussion of the articles by the full House of Representatives. At the sixth phase there is a Senate vote on impeachment Barrett (2017), say that during this necessary process, the HJC is likely to act as an expert witness, presenting evidence against the convicted person. It then seeks legitimate leaders to come forward for the shield. The Senate will likely act as the bunch of adjudicators, and the Chief Justice of the US will decide whether to allow review. As, Beckmann, (2017), the seventh step requires an overwhelming majority of 66% of the Senate to ballot in contradiction of the suspect to shift the burden of a single prosecution. Historically, only three US Presidents have been indicted. According to the research by Durrheim, Quayle, & Dixon (2016), those three are former Presidents Bill Clinton, Andrew Johnson, and Richard Nixon. Andrew Johnson and Bill Clinton were then slandered, then exonerated, but retained their positions, while Nixon preferred to leave to avoid legal strategies. In 1998, the House of Representatives indicted President Clinton on criminal charges for obstruction of disclosure and making a statement. Paula Jones filed both the charges and they were based on a lawsuit against the president. The former President Clinton was similarly indicted on another charge of lying and exploitation of a warrant in connection with circumstances similarly reported by Jones. However, only two of the main charges were dismissed as the other two failed; the second charge was for lying about Jones and another charge against the president was for abuse of office. Clinton's historic trial before the US Senate began after Congress began its 106th session with 66 per cent voting in favour of his impeachment. Only 45 members of Congress voted to impeach Clinton on a dissenting indictment, while 50 voted for impeachment for obstruction of value. Not a single Democratic congressman voted to find any of the charges against the president reprehensible, and similarly, Clinton was cleared of all charges along the way.

In the same way, the former leader Andrew Johnson was indicted in 1868 after being accused of violating the Tenure of Office Act, which was quickly passed by Congress. Of course, then in 1974, Richard Nixon chose to leave during the prosecution procedure. President Nixon was accused of misconduct and malfeasance, including humiliation in Watergate. The charges included



disrespect of Congress, mishandling of power and perversion of values. He still capitulated to elude being forcibly removed from the post, realising that he didn't have the 'innocent' votes to continue his power, unlike the former President Clinton who realised he might win those polls. According to Riley (2017), "President Trump is facing impeachment on five charges. Additional charges include obstructing a valuable association, dangerously tampering with its records and land holdings, violating Article II, Section 1 of the US Constitution, and attempting to interfere with the autonomy of a legitimate agency head by denouncing judges who ruled against him. According to Filipovic, (2017, December 5), the President was further reprimanded for attempting to interfere with the First Amendment rights of the press.

Trump's hatred of non-Caucasians

According to the research by Durrheim, Quayle, & Dixon, (2016), the hatred of non-Caucasians or non-whites by Trump is apparent when referring to prejudice in his actions and words. Trump has been associated with various frequencies that show him to be a prejudiced person who regularly persecutes people of colour. His exercises also show that he is prejudiced but rejects bigotry as reprehensible without resistance. According to Hinojosa & Perez-Linan, (2006) by his actions that reveal the extremist designs include choosing high-level advisers through supporting evidence, shelving massive efforts to crack down on racist harassers who campaigned for him, and refusing to be associated with gerrymandering events after his political run-in. Trump has been particularly dismissive of former KKK pioneer David Duke, stating bluntly that his inability to govern under Trump is a negative form of his legacy. According Kane, (2017), it was also supposed that the Department of Justice prosecuted Trump's association for racially assaulting African-Americans while he was running the family's real estate firm, Trump Management Corporation. The Securities Department reportedly sued the association twice for extorting money from African-Americans looking to lease lofts in the Queens, Staten Island, and Brooklyn boroughs of NY City. His partisanship remained similarly described, when asked if Barrack Obama was respected in America, he replied that Obama was a stupid president. Also at a meeting of political leaders in Alabama in 2015, Trump drew a crowd that beat back Black Lives Matter non-conformists. The event resembled actual attacks on African-American protesters by Trump associates. According to Liebovich, (2003), the latter chanted "Ethnic minorities matter" at the event, where he was violently attacked. In a speech about the event, the president said he legalised the beating because he felt that promoting "the cause of ethnic minorities" required dealing with dissent legally.



Saturday Night Massacre

Under Nixon's control, the "Saturday Night Massacre" was triggered by an attempt to fire the Archibald Cox that headed the resignations of William Ruckelshaus and Elliot Richardson (McCarthy, 2013). He mentioned in 1973 that he had fired Cox, who had been a prominent inspector in Nixon's Securities Division from the beginning. He had been chosen to investigate the 1972 assault on Republican scientists at the Watergate Hotel. Cox was offered tapes of Nixon's conversations with White House specialists. In any event, Cox invoked Nixon's tapes because he could not edit the overdubs himself. Nixon did not respect these tapes and decided to fire Archibald Cox. The Former President asked Attorney General-Elliot Richardson to fire Cox. Richardson, however, disagreed with his plan and categorically leave his post (McKay, 2016).

Trump's tactics to keep the press at bay

He occasionally acknowledges the part of the media in informing residents of current news opportunities and alerting the public to important issues. While the media is a vital tool for collaborating plans to all, he does not consider addressing press and may do so after conditions require the president to appear before it. Trump believes that the press influences pioneers and presidents of government to influence those who occasionally make political news. Consequently, the president has consistently developed various systems for monitoring the press during his public appearances to avoid distractions. For example, the President advocates that state dinners discourage the press from attending social gatherings for interviews (Riley, 2017).

A moral abuse of power and a presidential duty

According to Kane, "Trump is an enemy of the Washington economy and a destructor of the norm. The president rarely participates in exercises to address various problems in the country's social structure and assessments." One episode in which the president opposed US economic progress was his rejection of a peace agreement to reduce environmental change. Nearly 200 countries participated in reducing emissions and supporting the 2015 Paris Agreement, but Trump opposed US participation in the agreement because he believed it would hurt the US economy. Trump argued that inclusion in the agreement would expose the nation to future disruptions and risks. Trump's decision to reject the peace contract was evidence that he was the first premier to go against the Washington Foundation and debate the traditionalist argument. The failure of 200 nations to join the environmental agreement enraged the elites in the capital Washington. The



president has further infuriated the elites by enacting a spending plan that takes a gigantic interest in smaller tasks and consequently limits improvements to basic nature projects. President Trump is also in conflict with other members of the International Strategy Foundation over his willingness to renegotiate the North American Free Trade Agreement (Robert, 2013).

Constitutional gaps

There are several areas of the US Constitution that should be amended to eliminate similar anomalies that had certainly not addressed. Like, peoples have never been informed of what happened in the government when the Vice President is charged. Article I-Clause 6, Section 3 (Senate) unambiguously communicates: only the Senate has the power to initiate impeachment proceedings. If it sits for that purpose, it does so upon cognizance or confirmation. When the US President considers his case, the IJ coordinates: And without the synchronization of 66% of those present, no one will be convicted. On the other hand, 3rd clause of the comparative part informs that the Vice President of US will be the Senate President, but will not have the right to poll, except by comparative division. Under these circumstances, the Vice President, if summoned, will preside alone. Article I, Section 3, Clause 6 should be amended by combining Clauses 6 and 3 for consistency. In attempting to remedy the removal of the Vice President through a constitutional amendment, consider Amendment XXII, Section 1. The amendment states that “it should go further: No person shall be elected to the President's College more than twice, and no person who has served or marched as President for more than two years of the term for which another person was elected President shall be elected to the President's College more than once.” (Sunstein, 2017)

Trump's disregard of women

Former President Bill Clinton has been indicted of a number of illicit relationships with women before and during his time in office. Clinton has always said that he has an unusual talent for women. Women with whom Clinton has been accused of having illicit sex include previous Miss Arkansas, Lincoln Sullivan, ex Miss America, Elizabeth Ward Gracen and Gennifer Flowers, with whom he had more than a decade affair. A number of events are present where Donald Trump has disrespected women and directly undermined them. The way Trump usually introduces himself to women and how he treats them clearly shows that he views women as a different thing for him like a sex objects. He brags around turning himself into attractive females. Most of his explanations are dehumanising towards ladies since he presents them fundamentally important in terms of their sexual attractiveness. As Trump has shown, the limit of ‘prime miss’ for women depends on how



their actual appearance immediately infuriates their allies. Trump has always viewed young, slim, white, females as sex stuffs to please him. Regardless of what may be normal, he calls people with large, stocky bodies "dogs" and "pigs" because he finds them repulsive. Trump admits that men should not really be engaged or fully clothed as they are only seen as dependent on their success and wealth. He contends that females are forced to grab men's money by making themselves sexy enough to be seen by males with influence and wealth, and the men in turn demand sex in exchange for their abundance. In both cases, men have the option of leaving their amigos if they are burned by them or captured by other women. Trump has found a way to win the most important leadership position in the United States regardless of the fact that his sexism has been explicitly and constantly reinforced by different media and his friends (Sunstein, 2017).

Trump's Actions against FBI investigation

Former president Nixon, in 1973, fired the head of the FBI who was inspecting his agency's mission. He was Archibald Cox, whom Mr. Robert Bork had dismissed after the Saturday Night Massacre. Cox was probing the robbery at the Democratic National Committee's Watergate office in Washington, D.C., which Nixon and his staff allegedly had access to. Like Nixon, Donald Trump also abruptly dismissed FBI Director James Comey, who was tasked with investigating the Trump campaign's ties to Russia. He was investigating whether president's bosses and associates colluded with the government of Russian to disrupt 2016 general election. Comey became aware of his disappearance when he noticed the News Television screens as he checked on energy workers in Los Angeles (Teacherken, 2007). Moments later, he was handed a letter from Trump at FBI head office in Washington. The president said the dismissal was a direct result of Comey's behaviour during the assessment and noted that Comey had interacted with Hillary Clinton's private email specialist throughout the assessment (Teresa, 2017).

Some FBI experts say, "Comey's firing was influenced primarily by Attorney General Jeff Sessions and Deputy Attorney General Rod J. Rosenstein. When Comey was fired, four years of his 10-year term had passed. The FBI chief is only the third chief to be removed from office in history after William Sessions was pardoned by Bill Clinton in 1993. Comey's dismissal frustrated FBI experts who revered his zeal, and most administrators saw Comey as a free spirit and an outstanding manager. FBI administrators focused on the fact that Trump would not give them a White House job to run after Comey was fired." (Woodward, & Thomas, 2017, December 3).

Trump's Second Impeachment:



The House of Representatives has accused Trump of "inciting rebellion" for his attack on the US Capitol in his final days in office. He greeted his allies on the day of the Electoral College vote count in Washington, advising them to "fight like hell" and urging them to go to the Capitol, Democrats said.

When the attack on Capitol Hill proved deadly and put Vice President Mike Pence, people in Congress and officials on Capitol Hill in grave danger, Trump abused his promise of office by failing to protect the US government from attack, the indictment says. The attack came after Trump spent weeks trying to reverse the effects of the 2020 political race. The attack killed five people, including a police officer, and injured many others. During the two-day investigation, investigators released shocking, never-before-seen footage of the attack to bolster their claim that Trump deliberately incited the riot, preparing his supporters to agree to follow his lead. Crucially, they argued that the attack on Capitol Hill was not simply a consequence of Trump's January 6 speech, but a "predictable" outcome after years of condoning the brutality of his allies.

In the chamber that the dissenters entered, the Democrats' argument was alternately enthusiastic and instinctive. It provided a new understanding of the scale of the brutality that had taken place, and lawmakers learned in interesting ways how close the country's political leaders had come to bullying the mob. The striking evidence was a significant difference from Trump's first initial rebuke, which concerned reports and testimony about his push to force Ukrainian authorities to provide him with strategic assistance. After the Democrats concluded their arguments, Trump's legal advisers used a negligible portion of the 16 hours devoted to their case. They used various approaches to argue that Trump could not be sued because he had effectively left office and his speech did not constitute an incitement to atrocity and was protected by the primary correction (Levine, & Gambino, 2021, February 14).

Trump served one term in office before losing to Democrat Joe Biden. Trump claimed that the political race was tainted by blackmail without providing any evidence. Various legitimate difficulties and reports pushed by Trump and his associates have consistently failed to change turnout in any state. The previous president did not recognise Biden's triumph before leaving the office on 20 January, merely confirming that another organisation would take over after the wrath of the US Capitol. Trump has stayed away from mainstream online media scenes and has lived in Florida since his departure. His subsequent denunciation made US history, becoming the first US president to be censured twice (Aljazeera, 2021, February 9).



Conclusion

The US governmental environment, together with concerns impact the country's famous government, was of central importance for the world wide people. Amongst the problems addressed in above writing is the tendency to remember the impeachment model in the US administration and a debate on three past presidents: Bill Clinton, Richard Nixon, and Andrew Johnston, who are similar to current President Donald Trump. These issues were discussed at length in relation to impeachment methods. In addition, various events have been identified and analysed that indicate Trump's acute dislike of non-Caucasians and show that the US president supports dogmatism.

The massacre on Saturday night was described in detail. These included the planned disappearance of Archibald Cox and the release of Ruckelshaus and Richardson under Richard Nixon. Of course, there were also the warnings that Trump issued to the press. Patterns of Trump's abuse of power and office were recognised and explained, portraying him as a business nemesis and a norms' disruptor. Numerous spaces that should be enshrined in the Constitution of US were discussed. Frequencies were also presented showing how President Trump has clearly coddled women, including treating women as sex objects. The last part of the article describes how Donald Trump fired James Comey (FBI Director), who was considering his illegal exercises and cited this as the reason for taking political power in 2016. Despite being acquitted of sedition charges, Trump was impeached anyway, and his second impeachment trial went down in US history as he became the first American president to be charged twice.



References

- Aljazeera. (2021, February 9). *Who's who in Donald Trump's second impeachment trial*. <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/2/9/whos-who-in-donald-trump-second-impeachment>
- Barrett P M. (2017). *How to Remove a President 101*. Scribd. <https://www.scribd.com/article/348778482/How-To-Remove-A-President-101>.
- Beckmann, M. N. (2017). Did Nixon quit before he resigned? *Research & Politics*, 4(2), 2053168017704800.
- Congress of the United State of America. (2020). *Impeaching Donald John Trump, President of the United States, for High Crimes and Misdemeanors*. House Resolution 755, One Hundred Sixteenth Congress, First Session. <https://www.congress.gov/116/bills/hres755/BILLS-116hres755enr.pdf>.
- Durrheim, K., Quayle, M., & Dixon, J. (2016). The struggle for the nature of “prejudice”: “Prejudice” expression as identity performance. *Political Psychology*, 37(1), 17-35.
- Filipovic, J (2017, December 5). Our president has always degraded women – And we've always let him. *Time*. <https://time.com/5047771/donald-trump-comments-billy-bush/>
- Goitein, E (2017, May 11). Comey's dismissal shows how little Trump cares about the rule of law. *Yahoo Finance*. <https://finance.yahoo.com/news/comey-apos-dismissal-shows-little-144617496.html>
- Hinojosa, V. J., & Perez-Linan, A. S. (2006). Presidential survival and the impeachment process: The United States and Colombia. *Political Science Quarterly*, 121(4), 653-675.
- History, A & E Television Networks (2020). *President Donald Trump Impeached*. <https://www.history.com/this-day-in-history/president-trump-impeached-house-of-representatives>.



- Kane, J. (2017). Political leadership and political parties in the age of Trump. *Social Alternatives*, 36(3), 18.
- Levine, S. & Gambino, L. (2021, February 14). Donald Trump acquitted in second impeachment trial. *The Guardian* <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2021/feb/13/donald-trump-acquitted-impeachment-trial>
- Liebovich, L (2003). *Richard Nixon, Watergate, And The Press: A Historical Retrospective*. London: Greenwood Publishing Group.
- Mangu, X. (2016). Cultural racism in South Africa and the United States: Notes toward an anti-racist vision. *Brown Journal of World Affairs*. 23, 239.
- McCarthy, AC (2013). Bork, remembered. *New Criterion* 31: 73-76.
- McKay, J (2016). Trumpian ethics and the rule of law. *Creighton Law Review* 50: 781.
- Riley, D (2017). Lock him up: Impeachments in the United States.
- Robert, HB (2013). Saving Justice: Watergate, the Saturday Night Massacre, and other adventures of a solicitor general. *European Legacy* 20: 800-802.
- Sunstein, CR (2017). *Impeachment: A citizen's guide*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Teacherken, (2007). Holes in the constitution-Updated and completed.
- Teresa, DM, (2017). Discussing racial justice in light of 2016: Black Lives Matter, a Trump Presidency, and the continued struggle for justice. *Journal of Religious Ethics* 45(4): 761-792.
- Woodward, C., & Thomas, K. (2017, December 3). President Trump: 'I Never Asked' James Comey to stop investigating Michael Flynn. *CNN* <https://www.cnn.com/2017/12/03/trump-says-never-asked-comey-to-stop-investigating-flynn.html>