



EVALUATING THE ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE OF PAKISTAN UNDER DEMOCRACY & DICTATORSHIP REGIMES

Khush Bakht Siddiqi
Research Scholar Ph.D
Department of Political Science
University of Karachi
Karachi – Pakistan
khushi_siddiqi@hotmail.com

Prof. Dr. Samina Saeed
Chairperson
Department of Political Science
University of Karachi
Karachi – Pakistan

Abstract

Since its independence, Pakistan has had both authoritarian and democratic governments. Despite the fact that several democratic administrations have controlled the country, politicians in Pakistan have been unable to offer the ordinary populace the fundamental necessities of existence. Lack of timely, free, and fair elections, a chasm between the political elite and the general people, martial law, civil-military ties, and a lack of education and awareness are all major impediments to democracy's success. Since its inception, Pakistan's political history has undergone several transformations. Pakistan has had four Martial Law regimes and a few brief moments of democracy. Findings: Growth was faster under autocratic rule, with a substantial difference in GDP growth rate, CPI inflation, and moveable debt. Foreign Direct Investment, Real GDP, Underemployment, Per capita income, Consumer Price Index, Gross National Product, Corruption Index, and Inflation Rate are all indicators of economic performance. The goal of this research is to collect facts and information from the general public, particularly the young, concerning the dictatorship era and the role it played in Pakistan's progress, economic stabilization, and good governance. Our research's projected outcomes and conclusions would indicate that either



dictatorship is suited for excellent governance or dictatorship is not fit for Pakistan. The results of data analysis may indicate that a large development occurred during the dictatorship era or that a major development did not occur during the military-led era.

Keywords: Pakistan, Real GDP, Martial Laws, Democracy, Dictatorship

Introduction

Economic development has been and will continue to be, the most essential factor in establishing a country's status and position in the world. Recent findings have shown that even the most powerful democracies are vulnerable to significant economic calamities. This conversation isn't confined to the media and respectable company in countries like Pakistan, where the political framework turns like a melodic seat. Or then again, as in Pakistan, improve as far as observing advancement pointers? Consequently, a reevaluation of the subject is expected to assess on the off chance that majority rules government advances the economy.

Monetary development is characterized as a consistent expansion in a nation's abundance. To decide the pace of monetary headway, the speed of advancement is evaluated and contrasted with that of different nations. GDP (Gross domestic product) and gross public item (GNP) are utilized to evaluate Pakistan's financial development (GNP). The previous covers all abundance created by assembling items and administrations inside a country's regional cutoff points inside a particular monetary period. The last option alludes to all income got by individuals of that country, whether procured at home or abroad. As cash values vacillate, expansion changed development is regularly thought of. The PPP or Gross domestic product purchasing power equality measurement is utilized to compute the buying force of one dollar in the US and another country, like Pakistan. In 2013, without precedent for history, control of the nation was calmly moved starting with one popularity based organization then onto the next. In the last right around 35 years, Pakistan has had three effective and three disastrous military overthrows. How did Pakistan's economy do under military and majority rule state run administrations? These inquiries will be responded to through this exploration. Which political framework supported the country's monetary advancement the most?

Monetary development is and has generally been the deciding component in deciding a nation's worth and spot in the globe. Its pace of rise or fall uncovers the nature of a nation's authority and fills in as the establishment for estimating the nation's prosperity. Throughout the long term, the contention about whether majority rule or non-vote based systems are



better for monetary development has acquired foothold and caught the consideration of the overall population. A majority rules government and vote based organization have accumulated such ubiquity and backing from famous nations that it is almost respected an ideology, and contending against or scrutinizing it is considered ungodly discourse. Late occasions, in any case, have exhibited that even the best majority rules systems are not resistant to huge monetary shocks, and that a vote based government alone can't save the world from financial catastrophe.

For countries like Pakistan, where the political construction shifts like a melodic seat, this discussion isn't restricted to the media and common society, but on the other hand is the tea visit of taxi drivers and the mate discuss schoolchildren. Consequently, a reevaluation of this subject is expected to decide whether a majority rules system helps the economy. Or then again is it dictator legislatures that improve by observing development factors, especially in the occurrence of Pakistan?

"A vote based system implies the right to speak freely of discourse, right to cast a ballot and control of government through larger part. A majority rules government implies everybody having equivalent freedoms. Everything revolves around its residents (by individuals and for individuals)". A majority rule government was one of the goals and thoughts on which Pakistan endeavored to secure itself as a country state in 1947, as imagined by the nation's chief and originator, Muhammad Ali Jinnah. Pakistan is a vote based parliamentary republic with an agent government. In any case, because of military overthrows and political disturbance previously, a majority rule government has changed. Until 2008, Pakistan was one of the world's most youthful majority rules systems, with the primary political race held in 2013 to finish a 5-year term without precedent for the country's political history. Pakistan is the world's fifth-biggest country, as well as the countries biggest Dictator a majority rules system and (non-liberal) republic, and it could be the world's biggest majority rules government inside the Islamic world. President Abraham Lincoln stated,

"Democracy is the government of the people, by the people, and for the people (Pitkin, 2004)".

Jinnah believed that Islam had shown us vote based ideals like equity, equity, and resilience around fourteen hundred years prior.

"A vote based system is in the blood of Musalmans who have confidence in brotherhood and freedom and view absolute equity of masculinity" (on the same page, p. 12), "Fellowship, uniformity, and society of man, these are on the whole the center parts of our



religion, culture, and development" (on the same page, p. 29). Muslims are educated to be open minded, polite, and excited. "The lessons of Islam commit each Muslim to shield his neighbors and minorities, paying little heed to position or confidence" (Zarrin, 2013).

"Military coup is defined as the over thrown of current government through power by Military, it's an illegal replacement of government and taking power into their own hand. The first and foremost objective of democracy is to accomplish the objectives that best serve the interests of the people, whereas Military is liable for guarding and defending the state".

Since its autonomy, Pakistan has encountered various types of organization, including Parliamentary, Presidential, Martial Law, and Democracy. The 1956 constitution made a parliamentary framework in Pakistan, but the constitution was disavowed two years after the fact, in 1958, and General Ayub Khan requested troops. Ayub Khan made the official framework in the 1962 constitution, beginning Pakistan's arrangement of central majority rules systems. This framework, notwithstanding, couldn't work in Pakistan because of debasement, discretionary extortion, and nepotism (Pardesi, 2012). The new nonmilitary personnel organization passed the new constitution in 1973, denoting the end of the military regulation period. In the 1973 constitution, the parliamentary type of administration was restored.

Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto, Pakistan's driving picked Prime Minister, had served his five-year term. Nonetheless, Zia-ul-Haq, who introduced military regulation in Pakistan in July 1977, distorted the popularity based process indeed. Once more thus, from 1977 until 1988, Pakistan was governed by an undemocratic organization. After Zia-ul-passing, Haq's a political decision was directed in 1988, and Benazir Bhutto was chosen Into Power of Pakistan. At the point when charges of defilement and unfortunate administration surfaced, the Pakistan People's Party (PPP) administration was toppled in 1990. The PPP was frequently the prevailing party from 1993 to 1996, but it couldn't finish its order and had to stop broad debasement charges. Interestingly, the Pakistan Muslim League (PMLN) overwhelmed the nation starting in 1991 to 1993 and 1997-1999. By and by, the PMLN-drove organization couldn't finish its term. In October 1999, General Musharraf proclaimed military regulation in the country. The political decision framework in Pakistan has been over and over frustrated. President Musharraf, however from the other hand, has guaranteed that he might leave behind a steady vote based system in Pakistan, forestalling future military commandeering. He likewise said that his administration will offer proper work open doors and HR, as well as take out destitution and advance essential living conditions, but he neglected to follow through on these guarantees.



Besides, practically all political pioneers expressed before surveys that whenever picked, they would make progress toward the benefit of the country. In any case, no administration has had the option to take care of standard people's center issues. Therefore, the overall population is as yet denied of essential necessities like sustenance shortage, an energy emergency, and inadequate medical care. Previous Prime clergyman Prime Minister Mian Nawaz Shareef expressed, "We would change over Pakistan into a genuine government assistance state with our strategies and difficult work." Nonetheless, his organization, similar to the one preceding it, couldn't meet its guarantees.

Materials and Methods

The methodology that will be utilized in this exploration is quantitative in nature as the analyst will quantify the information through measurable examination all the more especially relapse investigation.

The motivation driving a genuine evaluation arrangement is to gather, insist, and join confirmation from the past to fan out genuine variables that protected or disrespect a theory. It utilizes aide sources and a gathering of essential story affirmation, for example, journals, official records, reports, archives, and non-creative data [maps, pictures, sound and visual recordings]. The deterrent is that the sources should be both certifiable and huge.

From 1951 to 2014, the survey examined Pakistan's monetary execution under larger part rule government and military surprises using five macroeconomic markers: Unfamiliar Direct Venture (FDI), Genuine GDP (RGDP), Joblessness (UE), Per capita Pay (PCI), and Expansion Rate (INF). World Bank bits of knowledge on FDI (% of Gross domestic product) from 1970 to 2014, as well as RGDP for a model season of 1951 to 2014, are assembled, as are IMF data on CPI development (1957-2014), Exchanging Economies data on joblessness (1986-2014), and Exchanging Economies data on per capita pay (1960-2014).

Results

The reason for this study is to look at the monetary results of a majority rules system and military overthrows in Pakistan. We surveyed Pakistan's monetary viewpoint utilizing macroeconomic measurements like genuine GDP, expansion, abroad speculation, per capita pay, and joblessness rate. Utilizing graphic and relapse investigation, the monetary exhibition of vote based and military organizations was thought about. As per the discoveries, Pakistan's monetary presentation was vastly improved after overthrow



endeavors than during a vote based system. In any case, the joblessness rate and FDI didn't vary altogether between furnished administrations and vote based systems. In any case, all through military states, Pakistan saw supported financial development. The mission of the military is to safeguard and keep up with the interests of its nation, yet the job of a majority rules system is to accomplish the objectives that best serve individuals' inclinations. Tragically, a majority rules system has not created the expected results, and genuine majority rules system has not been created. Horse-exchanging, nepotism, pay off, unlawful responsibilities, and different kinds of debasement are connected to a majority rule government. Most ideological groups need cash, instructed human resources, and a solid instructive establishment, which has made critical obstructions to socio-political and financial advancement. Our political chiefs and public gatherings, as well, come up short on long haul visionary way to deal with overseeing and administration; they are additionally responsible for overseeing state foundations for their own benefit.

Government possession and the sociopolitical framework have brought about political precariousness and unfortunate advancement, which is a vote based revile. Popularity based systems depended generally on the IMF and World Bank, and delinquency of credits and commitments hampered financial development and solidness. Under majority rules government, there was likewise an expansion in backhanded tax assessment and expansion. Popularity based specialists certainly stand out to energy concerns, industrialization, or water shortage. The condition of wellbeing and training is decaying consistently. The billions of abundance in Swiss banks and their children concentrating abroad exhibit our political chiefs' steadfastness to the country. Measurements demonstrate that majority rules system is the best type of government, and that vote based system isn't to be faulted for our nation's vulnerabilities. Political pioneers and a frail political system are totally responsible for the nation's downfall. To accomplish financial turn of events and supportability, our chiefs should work with trustworthiness, responsibility, and incredible skill in light of a legitimate concern for their nation and individuals. Genuine majority rules government must be created through the endeavors of popularity based legislators and military overthrows working together in perceiving each other's obligations in their individual aspects as indicated by the contract of freedoms.

Discussion

In October 1956, a trade off was reached, and Pakistan's first constitution was announced. The popularity based explore was brief however mixed. Services were framed and disbanded in quick progression, and in October 1958, with public races due for the next year, General Mohammad Ayub Khan organized a tactical upset without any difficulty.



Somewhere in the range of 1958 and 1971, President Ayub Khan had the chance of fusing the public authority without the heaviness of unpredictable peaceful unions that had described its initial 10 years following freedom, on account of dictator government. Khan united a fantastically Punjabi furnished power and normal organization, as well as a little yet strong present day class and a segment of the landed supreme, to supplant the parliamentary framework with a plan of Fundamental Majority rules systems. The Crucial Vote based systems regulation was shaped because of Khan's choice that administration authorities and their "free-for-all" way of fighting were affecting the country. He later excluded all previous officials under the Elective Bodies Exclusion Request, 1959. (EBDO). The Essential Majority rule governments foundation was then settled, legitimizing "that it was a vote-based framework that fit the virtuoso people." A couple of key dissidents (at initial 80,000 isolated similarly between the two wings and later extended by another 40,000) picked the people from both the typical and public get-togethers. Thus, the Fundamental Majority rules systems structure didn't permit exceptional individuals to take part in the vote-based process, however it opened up the chance of paying off and buying votes from the couple of voters who were adequately remarkable to project a polling form.

Khan would have wished to increment central power, and normally American-composed, plans for Pakistan's monetary development by giving the normal organization (the picked not many) a piece in constituent legislative troubles. His endeavors, nonetheless, exacerbated existing aberrations between and across regions. This uplifted the eastern wing's protests, risking the remarkably durable control Khan was endeavoring to lay out. In West Pakistan, prominent accomplishments in expanding handiness were more than countered by developing farming irregular characteristics and their absence of portrayal, a horrendous pattern of urbanization, and the assembly of abundance in a couple of contemporary houses. Because of the 1965 clash with India, rising neighborhood distress in East Pakistan and metropolitan tumult in West Pakistan sabotaged Ayub Khan's position, constraining him to give up office in Walk 1969.

From 1969 until 1971, the second military framework was driven by Broad Agha Muhammad Yahya Khan, who succeeded Ayub Khan. Around then, the nation had been administered by the military for thirteen of its 25 year presence. This second military framework showed the degree to which Pakistani society and official worries had been isolated by the pattern of centralization under authoritative and military oversight. The general arrangement of 1970 in light of grown-up foundation found startlingly in Pakistan's arrangement of encounters how regionalism and cultural clash had come to overwhelm legislative worries paying little heed to oversight improvement drives. The Awami Association, drove by Mujibur Rahman, fought on a six-point profession of ordinary



opportunity, discovering everything with the exception of one seat in East Pakistan and procuring a clearly more noteworthy occupation in general society get-together. In West Pakistan, the Pakistan Public Party, drove by Zulfikar Ali Bhutto, had a freedom advocate stage that took the thunder from the Islamic social affairs (the Muslim Connection, the most experienced doctrinal affiliation, got a few seats) and arose as the greatest single collusion. The ability of an Awami Affiliations government addressed a threat to MPs in West Pakistan who, in concurrence with the essential association, held Mujibur back from accomplishing power. This was unquestionably the last thing that could be endured for the east wing, which was exhausted with their under-depiction in each part of public power, money related inconvenience, and, later, the covering of the omnipresence based approach. These error were touched off by composed defiance in East Pakistan, which incited Indian military intervention to crush it. Pakistan was presently drawn in with its third fight with India, cleaning the ground for the foundation's opportunity off of Bangladesh in 1971.

The 1973 constitution made basic concessions to non-Punjabi districts and spread out a political design as a public plan. Bhutto, of course, failed to do the constitution's game plans for association. He relied upon the state's coercive capacity to quell political obstruction and forgot to spread out the PPP as an inside and out prominent notable party. The opening between his remarkable approach to talking and the immaterial victories of his careless money related measures held Bhutto back from spreading out a social reason of help. Appropriately, regardless a momentary loss of face in 1971, the average connection and the military stayed the backbones of the state structure, instead of the occupants of Pakistan, who were endeavoring to be found in the vote-based process. Notwithstanding the way that Bhutto's PPP won the decisions in 1977, the Pakistan Public Alliance, a nine-party game plan, blamed him for gadget the vote. Horrible metropolitan ruckus gave the military under Sweeping Zia-ul Haq with the craving to show up of the political field, and on July 5, 1977, Pakistan was gotten back to military control, and the 1973 Constitution was suspended.

Following to enduring power, General Zia impeded each philosophical association and pronounced his presumption to affect Pakistan's state and society into an Islamic shape. In April 1979, Bhutto was executed on murder claims, and the PPP's excess power was kept or expelled. By holding nonparty races and transportation off a development of Islamization structures, Zia expected to accumulate a remarkable base of help in the craving to legitimize the control of the military in Pakistani regulative issues. Following the Soviet attack of Afghanistan in December 1979, Zia's framework got in general help as a predictable affiliation covering the Soviet zone. Notwithstanding the way that Pakistan had now officially isolated itself from both SEATO and CENTO and joined the fair way, the West saw Pakistan as a huge trailblazer state and a critical beneficiary of American



military and cash related help. In any case various snippets of data showing the economy's success, mumbles of frustration, by the by smothered, kept on being perceived. On December 30, 1985, just subsequent to validating what is happening in an antagonistic "Islamic" demand, wrapping up a new round of nonparty strategy of the typical and public social events, and familiarizing an advancement of cures with the 1973 constitution, Zia finally lifted military control and communicated the start of one more perceptible quality based period in Pakistan.

This new equivalent period was on a very basic level as aggressive as Pakistan's past political history. As a result of the non-party inclination stage, major philosophical associations requested a boycott in the 1985 political challenge. Without philosophical groupings, the new kids in town zeroed in on nearby worries, which supplanted most of the promising newcomers' loyalties to explicit gatherings. The Pakistani public was clearly anxious to take an interest in the greater part rule process and dismissed the craving to boycott, with 52.9 percent projecting voting forms for the Public Party and 56.9 percent projecting voting forms for normal challenges.

President Zia's fundamental goal was to research solutions for the 1973 constitution that would give him influence over the administrative turn of events. The eighth amendment ended up being the most harming to individuals' confidence in a reputation based framework. Right now, the president has boundless power and the adaptability to make any move he considers vital to get famous regard. For the going with twelve years, presidents utilized this change to take out various state experts from their positions, essentially considering private battles or delicacy over the change of power.

Following the 1988 political decision, Muhammad Khan Junejo was picked as the essential state pioneer, with the Public Collecting dependably displaying solid assistance. Junejo gave off an impression of being a promising person from the Pakistani association; he gained a reliable headway from military to customary resident power, which created cheerfulness about Pakistan's acclaim based bearing. For the underlying a long time of his organization, Junejo had the choice of discovering some sort of concordance between fanning out the parliamentary certificates as a majority rule body and staying aware of President Zia's endorsement. He progressed a five-point program highlighted further creating advancement, ability rate, dispensing with corruption, and chipping away at the normal person's work. He was moreover developing his system abroad and defying a tremendous financial opening on account of the profound usage of military managerial structures. Regardless, on May 29, 1988, President Zia disbanded the Public Gathering and excused the state head according to Article 58-2-b of the Constitution. He said that Jenejo



was plotting to debilitate his condition, and he faulted the Public Get-together for corruption and deficiency to help Islamic lifestyle.

The square affiliations kept up with Zia's choice since it helped them by permitting them an early political choice. They asked that decisions be relied upon ninety days as per the constitution. In a shocking turn, President Zia enjoyed this explanation of the constitution. He figured he should articulate the political race technique for ninety days, with the troubles happening some time later. All through, he was relied upon to settle upon decisions on a non-party premise, as he had done in 1985, yet the High Court assumed that this went head to head with the place of combination of the constitution. Political disturbance followed as a result of Zia's proposition to give up the choices to change the political improvement to help Islam. There was fear that Zia would ask military rule, and the Muslim Association became isolated between Zia's assistants and Junejo's accessories.

All of this was hampered when Zia passed on in a plane disaster on August seventeenth. Ghulam Ishaq Khan, the most noteworthy mark of the Senate, was kept up with as president, and races were held. Outside spectators, who speculated that the military should plainly get a handle on power, were amazed. The November 1988 methodology relied on philosophical social gathering figures out that had not been found in fifteen years. Notwithstanding the way that none of the social affairs got a large portion of the seats in the Public Assembling, the Pakistan Public's Party emerged as the absolute most unmistakable holder of seats. Benazir Bhutto, the highest point of the PPP, was picked state pioneer after the PPP outlined a comprehension of more unobtrusive social affairs to shape a strong greater part. Every step of the way, people perceived that Bhutto would work with the resistance's boss, Nawaz Sharif of the IJI party, who drove the Punjabi party, which controlled an enormous piece of the land. Regardless, they speedily loosened up validity to striking levels and drained the economy with acclimations to various authorities to impact affinities. These figures, close by the lack of progress on the financial front, have hurt the image of the central government. The President exonerated Bhutto under the eighth amendment to the constitution in 1990, a decision remained mindful of by the High Court. In like manner, choices were made just a short period of time from that point.

The Pakistani public were losing trust in the explanation of greater part rule. They thought it was poor, conflicting, and vain considering the military and regulatory squabbles. This demeanor was formed by how Nawaz Sharif was allotted state head in 1990 and avoided in 1993, no matter what the way that he had changed knowledge, restored validation of neighborhood and generally cash related accessories, and hypothesis connected by 17.6 percent. Also, the Gross domestic product made at a 6.9 percent yearly rate, while the advancement stayed under 10%. President Ghulam Ishaq Khan was thought for planning



with Benazir Bhutto to exonerate Sharif. Great for Pakistan's game plan of experiences, the High Court contemplated that the loathing of Sharif and the Public Social affair was unlawful, returning Sharif and the Public Party. This lead showed that the president was not the intriguing power; rather, the events that followed showed how shaky regulative authority was. Ghulam had the potential, through settlements and magnificent home interests, to impact a resistance in Punjab in 1993 that portrayed Sharif and his party as off-kilter. The current situation made an unsettling influence in the turn of events, activating the mediation of the Greatest mark of the Essential Staff, General Abdul Waheed Kaker. It was agreed that both the president and the head of state would leave, and new choices would be held.

An even lower turn out affected the validness of the truly constant picked created effort. In this political choice the arrangements was segregated by close to players, the PPP with Bhutto and the Muslim Relationship with Sharif. Sharif had lost the renowned assistance with Punjab, which made the PPP ensure a massive piece of the seats. Again so the PPP embraced by far by a wide margin the majority of the seats and Bhutto was set as top of the state. She had the choice to get Farooq Ahmad Khan Leghari picked as president, which got her relationship against the eighth amendment. Despite Bhutto couldn't run a fair government; she tumbled to ensure into debasement, maltreatments of state resources, which was horrifying to the Pakistani public. Both the Focal Worth and President expected to stay aware of the chance of their circumstance in the public power, while Bhutto was attempting to deny the political plan. President Leghari a little while later got her with the assistance liberated from the Unprecedented Court. The public hailed this decision and in February 1997 set up for new races, the fifth in twelve years. The resident help for the choices spread proportionately all through these twelve years.

Obviously the two driving social gatherings were trading public assistance when Sharif and the Muslim Affiliation were reestablished as the Top state pioneer and greater part party uninhibitedly. The Muslim Alliance used its parliamentary greater part to spread out a basic change in the political system with the introduction of changes thirteen in the constitution. The thirteenth amendment bound the power of the president to that of a conspicuous head of state, while restoring the parliament as the central substantial power. This change essentially settled on a check and arrangement framework to article eight, trying to stay aware of political power. By 1999 the eighth amendment was denied of the fundamentals that empowered the president to disconnect the Public Gathering or excuse the top state pioneer. These managerial achievements were essential, yet as a rule the Muslim Coalition's hotshot was mixed. They got a beast heap of squares, an economy that was almost breakdown and a political culture of tainting. The May 1998 decision to work with nuclear tests considering India's nuclear tests achieved the agitating impact of



supports that covered the economy extensively more so. Bhutto's awful utilization of new resources and the freezing of new theories further tangled experience relations.

Pakistan's economy is basically fair. Pakistan's political framework saw a few highs and lows after 1947. Pakistan has been exposed to four times of military regulations. Individuals generally pick popularity-based frameworks since they accept that everybody has the opportunity to offer their viewpoint in a vote-based framework. Pakistan has had a popularity-based government set up starting around 2008. A few people like the majority rules system, however, others favor tyranny since our economy performs preferred under fascism over it does under a vote-based system. Individuals and ideological groups in Pakistan, then again, work for their very own benefit. They don't work or participate in exercises that add to the development of the nation and its kin.

Our exploration depends on time-series information, including GDP, expansion, speculation, populace, and exchange as variables. The tyranny endured from 1999 to 2007, and the vote-based system kept going from 2008 to 2016. We utilize the standard least square way to deal with gauge. During the autocracy time frame, GDP is measurably critical at 0.02, venture and populace are statically significant at 5%, and business is genuinely huge at 10%. Expansion is immaterial.

During the majority rule period, GDP is genuinely insignificant, expansion is measurably critical at 0.0250 percent, and venture is statically significant at 0.00009. The Populace is statically significant at 0.017, yet the exchange isn't. Venture favorably affects the economy. At the point when venture increments, business open doors, and monetary development move along. The impact of the populace on financial development is negative. Exchange Pakistan has likewise had a hindering effect since imports dwarf trades. Pakistan's economy fared better during oppression. In any case, since individuals pick a popularity based framework, the public authority should carry out new approaches and guidelines.

During the tyranny time frame, GDP, speculation, and populace are statically significant, in spite of the fact that exchange and expansion are not. Venture affects GDP in light of the fact that as speculation increments, so do business prospects and laborer profit, which well affects the economy and development. The populace favorably affects development. Assuming that the quantity of working people expansions in the populace, the development will happen; any other way, it will not. Expansion impacts the economy since it increments yield. Joblessness will diminish, interest for work and income will ascend, as will interest for purchaser items, all of which will affect GDP regardless of rising expansion. Exchange affects GDP since expanding a nation's imports doesn't raise GDP. In a vote-based time, expansion, speculation, and populace are significant, in spite of the fact that GDP and



exchange are minor. Expansion and speculation affect development, however, populace and exchange adversely affect GDP.

Conclusion

GDP, investment, and population were all vital throughout the dictatorship period. Inflation is advantageous to the economy because it boosts production. Trade has a negative impact on GDP since raising a country's imports does not result in an increase in GDP. With rising inflation, demand for labor and incomes will grow, boosting GDP. The population has a positive impact on growth. Pakistan's financial outlook is evaluated using macroeconomic indicators such as real GDP, inflation, foreign direct investment, per capita income, and the unemployment rate. The economic performance of democratic and military regimes was compared using descriptive and regression analysis. According to the data, Pakistan's economic performance was significantly better following coup attempts than during democratic periods. However, the unemployment rate and foreign direct investment (FDI) did not change much between armed forces and democratic regimes. Our political leaders, as well as national parties, lack a long-term visionary approach to governing and leading. The sociopolitical system and government ownership have led to political instability and slow growth, which is a democratic curse. Democratic governments were heavily reliant on the IMF and World Bank, and failure to repay debts and commitments impeded economic growth and stability. To achieve economic development and sustainability, our leaders must work in the best interests of their country and people with integrity, commitment, and professionalism. True democracy could only be created by the collaborative efforts of democratic politicians and military coups in acknowledging each other's roles under the charter of rights. Political leaders and a weakened political framework are entirely to blame for the country's collapse.



References

- Iqbal, Z., & Zahid, G. M. (1998). Macroeconomic determinants of economic growth in Pakistan. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 125-148.
- Kaufmann, D., & Kraay, A. (2002). Growth without governance. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, (2928).
- Khan, S. (2012). The military and economic development in Pakistan. *Political Economy Research Institute, University of Massachusetts working paper series*, 291.
- Kurzman, C., Werum, R., & Burkhart, R. E. (2002). Democracy's effect on economic growth: a pooled time-series analysis, 1951–1980. *Studies in Comparative International Development*, 37(1), 3-33.
- Nawaz, A. (2013). External Debts: A Comparative Study of Pakistan in Democratic and Military Regimes. *Journal of Business Strategies*, 7(1).
- Nelson, M. A., & Singh, R. D. (1998). Democracy, economic freedom, fiscal policy, and growth in LDCs: a fresh look. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 46(4), 677-696.
- Olson Jr, M., Sarna, N., & Swamy, A. V. (2000). Governance and growth: A simple hypothesis explaining cross-country differences in productivity growth. *Public Choice*, 102(3-4), 341-364.
- Polterovich, V., & Popov, V. (2007). Democratization, quality of institutions and economic growth. *Quality of Institutions and Economic Growth* (July 2007).
- Przeworski, A., & Limongi, F. (1993). Political regimes and economic growth. *The journal of economic perspectives*, 51-69.